| City of York Council | Committee Minutes |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Oity of Tork Courier | Oommittee windles |

Meeting Decision Session - Executive Member for

Transport and Planning

Date 10 September 2015

Present Councillors Gillies

In attendance Councillors Aspden and Brooks

#### 13. Declarations of Interest

The Executive Member was asked to declare any personal, prejudicial or pecuniary interests he may have in the business on the agenda. None were declared.

#### 14. Minutes

Resolved: That the minutes of the Decision Session held on 23

July 2015 be approved and signed as a correct

record.

### 15. Public Participation

It was reported that there had been three registrations to speak at the meeting under the Council's Public Participation Scheme and that two Members of Council had also registered to speak.

Councillor Brooks spoke in respect of agenda item 4 (Proposal to designate Hassacarr Nature Reserve as a Statutory Local Nature Reserve by delegation of function). She stated that she was Vice-Chair of Dunnington Parish Council who owned the land. Councillor Brooks requested that the Executive Member agreed to option 1 in the report, as this would open up opportunities for grants. She stated that the land was much loved by the village.

Councillor Aspden spoke in respect of agenda item 6 (Waiting Restrictions Heslington Lane, Broadway – Hull Road Ward and Fulford and Heslington Ward). He outlined some of the issues, including accidents and near miss incidents that had taken place. Councillor Aspden stated that it was important that the Council and University worked together to address the

problems. He stated that the proposals had strong support from residents and urged the Executive Member to introduce the restrictions in accordance with the advertised proposal.

Councillor Aspden also spoke in respect of agenda item 7 (Proposed Enhancements to the University Road Pedestrian Crossing and Cycle Route Scheme). He thanked officers for attending the site visit, as previously residents had not been consulted. Councillor Aspden requested that the Executive Member considered delaying the implementation of the speed cushions but agreed to the installation of a new crossing refuge on a trial basis.

Ms Annaliese Emmans Dean, local resident, spoke in respect of agenda item 7 (Proposed Enhancements to the University Road Pedestrian Crossing and Cycle Route Scheme). She stated that officers had not listened to the concerns that had been raised and there had been a lack of consultation. There were equalities issues that had not been acknowledged, including the use of speed cushions which caused particular problems for people with osteoporosis. A flat, safe crossing would be a better option.

Mr Andrew Collingwood, local resident, spoke in respect of agenda item 7 (Proposed Enhancements to the University Road Pedestrian Crossing and Cycle Route Scheme). He stated that he was against the introduction of more speed humps. They caused particular difficulties for drivers of small cars. Mr Collingwood stated that action needed to be taken in respect of bus lay-bys.

Mr Nicholas Allen spoke on behalf of the Parish Council and the Village Trust in respect of agenda item 7 (Proposed Enhancements to the University Road Pedestrian Crossing and Cycle Route Scheme). He stated that he was pleased that a site visit had taken place, as previously there did not appear to have been any consultation. He expressed concern that a layby was being used as a loading bay by the university and stated that it should be used for buses, as the present arrangements were causing queuing. He stated that he would prefer to see a zebra crossing and that the arrangements should be time limited and further consultation carried out. Mr Allen stated that the implementation of the speed cushions should be delayed.

## 16. Proposal to Designate Hassacarr Nature Reserve as a Statutory Local Nature Reserve (LNR) by delegation of function

The Executive Member considered a report which proposed that City of York Council supported the application to declare Hassacarr Nature Reserve as a Local Nature Reserve (LNR) albeit that the land is within the ownership of Dunnington Parish Council.

The Executive Member considered the following options:

- Option 1: City of York Council endorses the application to declare Hassacarr Nature Reserve as a Local Nature Reserve by delegating powers to Dunnington Parish Council in this one instance. This would avoid the need to have a nature reserve agreement regarding the management of the land.
- Option 2: City of York Council enters a (nature reserve) agreement with the Parish Council regarding the management of the land under the auspices of section 7 of Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006.
- Option 3: City of York Council does not endorse the application to declare Hassacarr Nature Reserve as a Local Nature Reserve.

Resolved: That the Executive Member approves Option 1, to endorse that the City of York Council delegates its functions under Section 21 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act, 1949 to Dunnington Parish Council in this one instance such that Hassacarr Nature Reserve be designated as a Local Nature Reserve.

Reason: The designation as an LNR will bring positive benefits to the local community and to the site itself. It will help preserve and enhance the site for future years, send a positive message to the local community, and ensure good management practices are followed in consultation with Natural England.

### 17. Jockey Lane Cycle and Pedestrian Improvements

The Executive Member considered a report which set out a revised scheme proposal in response to various issues that had arisen since the previous scheme was approved.

Officers stated that there had been a change in terminology used and that references in the report to "tiger crossings" should be replaced with "parallel crossings".

The Executive Member considered the following options:

Option i: Implement the scheme as proposed in Annex

C (with a Toucan crossing)

Option ii: Implement the scheme with a Zebra Crossing

point as shown in Annex D now and replace it with a Parallel Crossing when it is legal to do

SO.

Option iii: Postpone the project until the legislation is in

place to introduce a Parallel Crossing on Jockey Lane without doing the Interim Phase

(Annex D).

Option iv: Do nothing.

Resolved: That Option ii be approved i.e. the scheme as

proposed in Annex C of the report be implemented, with the exception of the proposed Toucan crossing facility which should be made a Parallel Crossing facility as soon as national regulations make this possible, and a Zebra in the meantime (as per Annex D of the report). In addition, the savings achieved from changing the form of crossing facility be used to enable a full carriageway resurfacing scheme between the New Lane and Kathryn Avenue innetions.

junctions.

Reason: There would be significant advantages in

implementing the scheme with the amended crossing proposals shown in Annex D. This should bring down the overall cost of the scheme to around £115k and the savings would release money to allow a full resurfacing scheme to be carried out in conjunction with the proposed maintenance

allocation.

### 18. Waiting Restrictions Heslington Lane, Broadway - Hull Road Ward and Fulford and Heslington Ward

The Executive Member considered a report which detailed objections and comments received to the advertised proposal to introduce waiting restrictions along parts of Heslington Lane, Broadway and Heath Moor Drive. The Executive Member was asked to determine how to proceed with the proposed restrictions.

The Executive Member considered the following options:

Option 1: Introduction of the restrictions in accordance with the advertised proposal.

Option 2: Implement a revised less restrictive version of

the advertised proposal.

Option 3: Take no action.

Resolved: That the restrictions be introduced in accordance

with the advertised proposal.

Reason: To improve traffic flow along the important arterial

roads, while also preventing the current parking being displaced further along these main roads or

onto the grass verges.

### 19. Proposed Enhancements to the University Road Pedestrian Crossing and Cycle Route Scheme

The Executive Member considered a report on the University Road pedestrian crossing and cycle route scheme which detailed how the scheme was currently operating and which outlined a number of proposed enhancements.

The Executive Member considered the following options:

Option 1: Approve the scheme additions (extra speed cushions and central refuge) as shown in

Annexes B and C.

Option 2: Reject the proposed scheme amendments and

retain the existing layout.

The Executive Member questioned officers as to whether it would be feasible to implement some of the measures but delay installing other measures such as the speed cushions. Officers stated that they did not recommend this strategy as it was

important to reduce the distance between the measures. Physical measures were the most effective strategy to reduce speed and had been included in the original consultation.

The Executive Member sought clarification regarding the lay-by that was used by the university. Officers confirmed that the lay-by was used as a servicing point by the university and the university would be likely to be opposed to losing that facility. Bus companies were reluctant to use lay-bys as it was difficult for buses then to re-enter the traffic flow.

Officers were asked if equalities duties had been addressed. They stated that the proposals did not breach equalities requirements and they believed that the right balance had been achieved.

The Executive Member asked about the measures that would be in place to seek to ensure the safety of people getting off the buses. Officers explained the crossing points and safe refuge that would be in place.

The Executive Member stated that he did have reservations about aspect of the scheme and had noted the issues that had been raised by the registered speakers. Nevertheless, in order to achieve the most effective results, he accepted that it was necessary to implement all of the additions to the scheme that had been detailed. It was, however, important that the measures were reviewed to ascertain their effectiveness and that action taken should be reversible.

Resolved: (i) That the following additions to the scheme be approved subject to a six month trial:

- Two extra pairs of speed cushions with central islands, as shown in Annex B of the report, to make the 20mph Zone more effective.
- A new crossing refuge located at the speed table near the bus stops, as shown in Annex C of the report, to increase pedestrian safety in the busiest crossing location.
- (ii) That it be noted that officers are currently working with the University to encourage greater use of the new cycle path. This

involves installing various additional direction signs, plus extra signs and markings at all the entry points to make the status of the path more obvious, and publicising the facility to students.

(iii) That the Executive Member confirmed acceptance of the University's view that the provision of an additional set of steps to the footbridge on the Market Square side of University Road is unnecessary, and noted the University's financial contribution to the scheme.

#### Reasons:

- (i) The additional measures will improve the safety of all road users, in particular university students crossing University Road, and encourage greater use of the new cycle route.
- (ii) It is considered that the provision of additional steps to the Library footbridge is not necessary.
  - (iii) The University has offered to contribute extra funding to improve the scheme.

### 20. City and Environmental Services 2015/16 Capital Programme Consolidation Report

The Executive Member considered a report which identified the proposed changes to the 2015/16 City and Environmental Services Capital Programme to take account of carryover funding from 2014/15. The report also proposed adjustments to scheme allocations to align with the latest cost estimates and delivery projections.

# Resolved: (i) That the carryover schemes and adjustments set out in Annexes 1 and 2 of the report be approved.

(ii) That the increase to the 2015/16 City and Environmental Services capital programme budget, subject to the approval of the Executive, be noted.

Reason:

To enable the effective management and monitoring of the council's capital programme.

Councillor Gillies – Executive Member [The meeting started at 5.00 pm and finished at 5.35 pm].